

1
2
3
4
5
6
7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 KEVIN ELLIOTT FIELDS,

10 Petitioner,

No. CIV S-04-0454 FCD JFM P

11 vs.

12 AL K. SCRIBNER, et al.,

13 Respondents.

ORDER

14 _____/
15 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of
16 habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States
17 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local General Order No. 262.


18 On April 26, 2007, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations
19 herein which were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any
20 objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty days. After
21 receiving two extensions of time, petitioner was granted an extension of time until October 23,
22 2007 in which to file objections. That date has now passed and neither party has filed objections
23 to the findings and recommendations.

24 The court has reviewed the file and finds the findings and recommendations to be
25 supported by the record and by the magistrate judge's analysis. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY
26 ORDERED that:

1 1. The findings and recommendations filed April 26, 2007, are adopted in full;
2 and

3 2. Petitioner's application for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.

4 DATED: October 30, 2007.

5
6 
7 FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR.
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26